

WHOLESALE & RETAIL SETA QA Accreditation Policy

Policy Reference Number	POL_QA_001
First Approved	July 2012
Current Version Number	V6.0
Effective Date of Current Version	
Department	QA
Policy Owner	
Designation	Signature
Quality Assurance	
Policy Sponsor	
Designation	Signature
Chief Operations Officer	

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 1 of 15



Document Title	QA Accreditation Policy														
Digital Name	Document Control Pa	age													
Digital Location Z:\OMS	Document Title		QA Accreditation Policy												
Department	Digital Name		POL_QA_001												
V1: 07/2012; V2: 09/2013; V3: 10/2014; V.5: 10/2015; V.5: 10/2010; V.	Digital Location		Z:\QMS	Z:\QMS											
Dates	Department		Quality As	sura	nce	e (QA)									
Status Indicate with X Yes X No Distribution Internal and External Stakeholders Security Classification Indicate with X Restricted X Confidential Secret Top Secret Revision Version No Revision Date Revision Details Revised by (Dept/Unit) Revision frequency: V1 July 2012 Policy developed QA V.2 September 2013 Policy review QA V.3 October 2013 Policy review QA V.4 October 2015 Policy review QA V.5.1 08/09/2020 Policy review QA V5.1 08/09/2020 Editing of policy based on QMS feedback QA V5.2 16/09/2020 Editing of policy in line with:		sion	08/09/202	08/09/2020; V5.2: 16/09/2020; V5.3: 23/09/2020; V5.4: 14/10/2020; V5.4:											
Distribution			Version:			6.0			Stat	us			App	roved	
Restricted X Confidential Secret Top Secret	Password Protected		Indicate w	ith X						Yes	X			No	
Revision	Distribution		Internal ar	nd Ex	cter	nal Sta	akeholde	ers						1	
No Date (Dept/Unit)	•	on	Restricted	x		Confi	dential		Secre	et		Т	op Se	ecret	
V.2 September Policy review QA	Revision			_		on	Revisi	ion De	tails						-
V.3	Revision frequency:		V1	July	20	12	Policy developed				QA				
V.4	Annually		V.2			nber	Policy	review	,					QA	
V.5			V.3			er	Policy review					QA			
V5.1 08/09/2020 Policy review QA			V.4			er	Policy review				QA				
V5.2 16/09/2020 Editing of policy QMS V5.3 23/09/2020 Editing of policy based on QMS feedback V5.4 14/10/2020 Review of policy in line with: I. Unit named changed from Qualifications and Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance(QA) II. Appeals process amended () V5.5 18/11/2020 Amendments based on input from G&S Document Name: POL Q V6.0 18/11/2020 Policy approval Accounting Authority			V.5			er	Policy review				QA				
V5.3 23/09/2020 Editing of policy based on QMS feedback V5.4 14/10/2020 Review of policy in line with: I. Unit named changed from Qualifications and Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance(QA) II. Appeals process amended () V5.5 18/11/2020 Amendments based on input from G&S Document Name: POL_Q Version Control Created Approv Policy approval Accounting Authority			V5.1	08/0)9/2	2020	Policy review					QA			
V5.4 14/10/2020 Review of policy in line with: I. Unit named changed from Qualifications and Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance(QA) II. Appeals process amended () V5.5 18/11/2020 Amendments based on input from G&S Document Name: POL_Q Version Control Created Approver Policy approval Accounting Authority			V5.2	16/09/2020		2020	Editing of policy					QMS			
I. Unit named changed from Qualifications and Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance(QA) II. Appeals process amended () V5.5 18/11/2020 Amendments based on input from G&S Document Name: POL Q Version Control Created Approv POR Approv I. Unit named changed from Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance (QA) II. Appeals process amended () Policy approval Accounting Authority	•		V5.3	23/0)9/2	2020			icy ba	sed o	n QN	ИS		QA	
V5.5 18/11/2020 Amendments based on input from G&S Document Name: POL_Q V6.0 18/11/2020 Policy approval Accounting Authority Authority		-	V5.4	14/	10/2	I. Unit named changed from Qualifications and Quality Assurance (QQA) to Quality Assurance(QA)									
Document Name: POL_Q V6.0 18/11/2020 Policy approval Accounting Authority Approv			V5.5	18/	11/2	2020								QMS	
Reviewed: 18/11/2020		Created Approv			11/2	2020		approv	/al						•
			ed: 18/11/2020												

©W&RSETA Page 2 of 15



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Background	4
2.	Purpose	4
3.	Scope	4
4.	Related Information and Legal Reference	4
	4.1 Internal Documents	5
	4.2 External Documents	5
	4.3 Legal Reference	5
5.	Definitions and Acronyms	6
6.	Policy	8
7.	Exclusions	14
8.	Request to deviate from policy	14

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 3 of 15



1. BACKGROUND

The W&RSETA is committed to fulfilling its mandate from the Quality Council for Trades and Occupations (QCTO). The W&RSETA QA will undertake accreditation, approval of learning programmes, registration of assessors and moderators, and monitoring of accredited Skills Development Providers (SDP) to determine if they are operating in line with the submitted quality management system and requirements for accreditation.

2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to:

- Set out clear guidance on the accreditation provision for SDP's;
- Ensure that SDP's are accredited in terms of policy requirements and have the necessary capacity to provide quality learning provision;
- Assure and enhance the quality of programmes in the sector by identifying and approving programmes that meet requirements for approval, or demonstrate the potential to do so in a stipulated period of time;
- Protect learners from poor quality programmes through accreditation and reaccreditation arrangements that build on reports from self-evaluation and external evaluation activities, including W&RSETA monitoring and audits, and other relevant sources of information;
- Encourage and support provisionally accredited SDP's to meet requirements for accreditation after approval from the QCTO for historical qualifications;
- Ensure that the provision of quality education and training is consistent with the principles of the NQF;
- Provision of clear and legible policies for the expiry, review, re-accreditation, suspension and/ or de-accreditation of SDP's and appeals thereby for decisions taken by the QCTO and W&RSETA Policy; and
- Ensure that all W&R Seta policies are maintained in line with the QCTO requirements, reviewed and improved for continued relevance and suitability.

3. SCOPE

The policy applies to all processes conducted by the W&RSETA QA staff in relation to SDP accreditation, validation of programmes and registration of constituent assessors and moderators. The policy is further intended to guide current and aspirant SDP's seeking accreditation with the W&RSETA QAP.

4. RELATED INFORMATION AND LEGAL REFERENCE

This policy must be read in conjunction with the following supporting documents

4.1 Internal Documents

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 4 of 15



- Provider Accreditation Application Self Evaluation Tool
- Provider Accreditation Desktop Evaluation Report
- Provider Development Plan
- Provider Terms and Conditions
- Provider Code of Conduct
- Site Visit Evaluation for Accreditation
- Site Visit Notification for Accreditation
- Validation Report
- Accreditation Letter
- QCTO Service Level Agreement with W&RSETA (1 April 2020 31 March 2023)

4.2 External Documents

- QCTO Letter of Intent (Historically Registered Qualifications/ Learnerships). https://qcto.org.za/index.php?option=com_edocman&view=category&layout=t able&id=637
- SAQA Letter dated 29 June 2018 (Re-registration of Qualifications);
- QCTO Circular 1 of 2017 dated 21 June 2018 (Clarification on way forward regarding the quality assurance of qualifications registered on the qualifications sub-framework), https://www.qcto.org.za/publications/circulars

4.3 Legal Reference

- Section 26I (1) of the Skills Development Act (SDA) further specifies that the QCTO may, in writing and subject to such conditions as it may determine, delegate any of its functions to -
 - (a) the chief executive officer of the QCTO;
 - (b) a committee of the QCTO;
 - (c) the national artisan moderation body established in terms of Section 26A of the Skills Development Act 97 of 1998;
 - (d) a SETA; or
 - (e) any other suitable body

Such delegation includes but is not limited to:

Accredit constituent providers for specific standards or qualifications registered on the NQF;

Register constituent assessors and moderators for specific registered standards or qualifications in terms of the criteria established for this purpose;

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 5 of 15



Take responsibility for the certification of constituent learners; and Take responsibility for the monitoring and auditing of providers.

- Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act No 108 of 1996);
- Promotion of Administrative Justice Act (Act No. 3 of 2000);
- National Qualification Framework Act (Act No.67 of 2008);
- Skills Development Amendment Act (Act No. 37 of 2008);
- QCTO Policy on Accreditation of Skills Development Providers for qualifications and part qualifications on the OQSF- Revised Policy (March 2019);
- QCTO Policy for Verification for Trades and Occupational Qualifications on the OQSF- Revised Policy (November 2019).

5. ACRONYMS & DEFINITION OF TERMS USED

Accreditation	The certification, usually for a particular period of time, of a person, a body or an institution as having the capacity to fulfil a particular function in the quality assurance system set up by the South African Qualifications Authority.
Accreditation scope	The list of qualification(s) and/or unit standard(s) for which a body is accredited for a defined purpose
Assessor	The person who is registered by the relevant Skills Development Quality Assurance Body in accordance with criteria established for this purpose by a Standards Generating Body to measure the achievement of specified National Qualifications Framework standards or qualifications, and "constituent assessor" has a corresponding meaning
Assessment	The process of collecting evidence of learners' work to measure and make judgments about the achievement or non-achievement of specified National Qualifications Framework standards or qualifications
Assessment site	A provider who is responsible for summative and RPL assessments. In addition to mentoring and coaching, RPL assessment sites require practitioners who are able to assist the candidate through the process of making explicit what it is that they know and preparing the candidate for the assessment itself.
Delivery site	A provider who is responsible for training and formative assessments.

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 6 of 15



Full accreditation	The certification of a training provider for a period of five years after having met the requirements of the development plan during provisional accreditation and having met the requirements for full accreditation as during the provider audit conducted by the W&RSETA QA.	
QCTO Letter of Intent	QCTO template completed by a Training Provider (Skills Development Partner), and acknowledged by the QCTO f approval of Historical Qualification (Unit Standard Based)	
Moderation	The process which ensures that assessment of the outcomes described in National Qualifications Framework standards or qualifications is fair, valid and reliable	
Moderator	A person who has been declared competent to conduct moderation of assessments	
Primary focus	Activity or objective within the sector upon which an organization or body concentrates its efforts	
Provider	A body that delivers learning programmes which culminate in specified National Qualifications Framework standards or qualifications and manages the assessment thereof	
Provisional accreditation	The certification of a training provider for a period of two years having met the minimum requirements for accreditation set by SAQA and the W&RSETA QA, and where the provider enters into an agreed upon development plan with the W&RSETA QA	
Quality assurance	The process of ensuring that the degree of excellence specified is achieved	
Registered standards	Unit standards or qualifications registered on the National Qualifications Framework	
Registration scope	The list of registered standards for which an assessor or moderator is registered with the SETA as an assessor or moderator	
Workplace Assessment and delivery site	A provider who delivers learning programmes and manages the assessment thereof. It allows for the integration of theory and practice, training, assessment and experiential learning through a number of different sites and indicates the possible relationships between sites.	
Quality Assurance (QA)	The Unit /Department within the W&RSETA. This is the unit that will carry out the delegated function of the W&RSETA	

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page **7** of **15**



	in terms of section 32 of the NQF Act, SAQA and the Quality Councils (QCs) have the power to delegate any of their functions to a committee, any other body capable of performing the function	
QCTO	Quality Council for Trades and Occupations	
QC	Quality Council	
QA	Quality Assuror and is a person who ensures that the degree of excellence is achieved	
QAP	Quality Assurance Partner	
SAQA	South African Qualifications Authority	
SDP	Skills Development Provider	

6. POLICY

6.1 Policy principles

- 6.1.1 The W&RSETA QA will promote basic values and principles governing public administration as enshrined in section 195 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa. In particular:
 - a. High standard of professional ethics must be promoted and maintained.
 - b. Efficient, economic and effective use of resources must be promoted.
 - c. Services must be provided impartially, fairly, equitably and without bias.
 - d. Transparency must be fostered by providing the public with timely, accessible and accurate information.
 - e. Adherence to procedural fairness as required by the Promotion of Justice Act (Act No. 3 of 2003) in terms of:
 - i. reasonable notice (nature and purpose),
 - ii. a chance to make representations,
 - iii. a clear statement of the administrative action,
 - iv. advice as to review or internal appeal, and
 - v. advice as to the right to request reasons.

Document	Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Co	ntrol	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012		
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020		

©W&RSETA Page 8 of 15



6.2. Terms and conditions

- 6.2.1 All SDP's must adhere to the standard terms and conditions, and code of conduct of W&RSETA.
- 6.2.2 An SDP (Person/Company) may not apply for accreditation when the entity was:
 - a. Suspended by a QAP/QC;
 - b. De-accredited by a QAP/QC;
 - c. Backlisted by the Companies and Intellectual Properties Commission (CIPC); or
 - d. Found guilty of a criminal offence in a court of law.

6.3 Performance

- 6.3.1 SDP's whom are accredited must display continual commitment to the quality of programmes and learning. In this regard, accredited SDP's will be expected to:
 - a. Ensure correct and timely enrollment of learners, linked to the correct learning programmes per W&RSETA policy;
 - b. Effect assessment and internal moderation per W&RSETA policy;
 - c. Exit learners through external moderation leading to certification; and
 - d. Ensure its Quality Management System is fully implemented, monitored reviewed and in line with relevant policies and regulations.

6.4 Criteria for Accreditation

- 6.4.1 An aspirant SDP whose primary focus coincides with the primary focus of the QAP shall be accredited if the applicant seeking accreditation has been referred by the QCTO and can provide proof that:
 - 6.4.1.1 The company is registered as a legal entity in terms of the applicable legislation at the time of application for accreditation and meet the necessary legislative requirements to operate as a SDP.
 - 6.4.1.2 Has a quality management system which includes but is not limited to:
 - a. Policies which define that which the SDP wishes to achieve:
 - b. Procedures which enable the SDP to practice its defined quality management policies; and
 - c. Review mechanisms which ensure that the quality management policies and procedures defined are applied and remain effective.
 - 6.4.1.3 Is able to develop, deliver and evaluate learning programmes

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control Created: July 2012		
Approved: July 2012		
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 9 of 15



which culminate in specified registered standards and or qualifications. The submission of learning programmes for an accreditation application will be limited to a minimum of one skills programme upon submission.

- 6.4.1.4 Necessary financial, administrative and physical resources as well as management accountability and oversight.
- 6.4.1.5 Policies and practices for staff selection, appraisal, development and disciplinary procedures.
- 6.4.1.6 Policies and practices for learner entry, guidance and support systems.
- 6.4.1.7 Policies and practices for the management of off-site practical or work-site components.
- 6.4.1.8 Policies and practices for the management of assessment and moderation.
- 6.4.1.9 Necessary internal and external reporting procedures.
- 6.4.1.10 The ability to achieve desired outcomes, using available resources and procedures considered by the QA to be needed to develop, deliver and evaluate programmes which culminate in a specified registered standards or qualifications.
- 6.4.1.11 Has not already been granted accreditation by or applied for accreditation with another QAP and complies with the QCTO Circular 1 of 2017.
- 6.4.1.12 51 percent of training provision by the SDP will be based on the W&RSETA scope of Qualification and Unit standards.
- 6.4.1.13 The SDP must have the necessary ETD practitioners (Assessors, Moderators who have requisite skills and experience to deliver and assess the programme that they are applying for. The facilitator to learner ratio should not be more than 1:30 in a class.
- 6.4.1.14 Learning programme submission to align the qualification linked to the W&RSETA learnership strategy document. Fundamental-, core and elective unit standards must adhere to the notional hours indicated in same.
- 6.4.1.15 Learning interventions would adhere to training of fundamentals first or CAT/RPL fundamentals, before training core and elective

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 10 of 15



unit standards.

- 6.4.1.16 The SDP may use consultants to prepare the necessary documentation, but the contact person of the institution must be available for consultation with the evaluating QA.
- 6.4.1.17 The SDP must prepare and be in possession of a valid business plan.

6.5 Duration and status of accreditation

- 6.5.1.1 An SDP can apply to be accredited as a delivery site, assessment site or both assessment and delivery site.
- 6.5.1.2 The W&RSETA QA will recommend as per QCTO prescript (QCTO Letter 10 April 2019) for SDP accreditation. QA will award provisional accreditation status to all new successful applicants, valid for a period of two years.
- 6.5.1.3 The SDP with provisional accreditation status will be required to take corrective measures to address any developmental areas within a specified time frame.
- 6.5.1.4 A development plan must be signed and agreed with by the SDP and monitored accordingly by the W&RSETA QA.
- 6.5.1.5 The W&RSETA QA shall grant full accreditation status to an SDP who has met all areas as per development plan and lead learners through to successful certification. Such accreditation will be valid for 5 years but will not exceed qualification registration end dates and teach out periods.
- 6.5.1.6 Accreditation of SDPs are not transferable when company ownership changes.

6.6 Extension of SDP scope

- 6.6.1 Secondary SDP's which desires the extension of accreditation (Qualifications, Skills Programmes or Unit Standards) falling outside the accreditation scope of its primary SETA, shall follow QCTO laid down procedure, and upon referral from the QCTO, comply with W&RSETA requirements for Learning Programme Evaluation.
- 6.6.2 SDP's primary accredited by the W&RSETA shall apply to the QCTO and upon referral from the QCTO follow the W&RSETA requirements for Learning Programme Evaluation for any/all new programmes.

6.7 Expiry, Review and Re-Accreditation

6.7.1 SDP's shall be monitored and audited in terms of QA monitoring and

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 11 of 15



- accreditation policy. This process shall investigate the extent to which compliance requirements are effectively, economically and efficiently met.
- 6.7.2 Granting of SDP re-accreditation status and period shall depend on the findings of monitoring and auditing process.
- 6.7.3 In the event of a non-renewal, death, withdrawal or de-accreditation, the W&RSETA reserves the right to appoint an alternative SDP with consultation of the employer to see out the intervention to successful closure.

6.8 Suspension, Withdrawal and De-accreditation of SDP's

6.8.1 Suspension

- 6.8.1.1 An SDP accreditation scope or part of it, shall be suspended temporarily if the QAP (W&RSETA QA) monitoring or audit findings found that the SDP was;
 - a. Non-conformance with respect to the relevant terms of accreditation;
 - b. Have committed any criminal act including but not limited to fraud and falsification of documentation; and
 - c. Suspension or withdrawal of approval from a separate QC or QAP.
 - d. In the case of any criminal act, the duration of the suspension will commensurate with the criminal investigation and if the SDP is found guilty, this may lead to the withdrawal or deaccreditation.

6.8.2 De-accreditation

- 6.8.2.1 Where the SDP has been provided with sufficient support and assistance and given a reasonable time frame to improve performance, an SDP may be de-accredited by W&RSETA with its decision reported to the QCTO under the following circumstances:
 - a. The SDP does not comply with the accreditation criteria, relevant SDP code of conduct and terms of accreditation:
 - b. The QAP is in receipt of sufficient evidence which indicates that the accredited SDP has been involved in gross irregularities; and
 - c. The SDP has committed a criminal offence.
- 6.8.2.2 De-accreditation shall last for 2 years and the entity shall not be eligible to reapply for accreditation to the W&RSETA during this time period.

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 12 of 15



6.8.3 Withdrawal

6.8.3.1 The QAP can recommend the withdrawal of accreditation if the SDP's primary focus no longer coincides with that of the QAP and will recommend transfer to the relevant QAP or the QCTO.

6.9 Re-Application

- 6.9.1 Ending an accreditation, either by voluntary withdrawal or through suspension and or de-accreditation process, will not preclude a SDP from applying for accreditation in future.
- 6.9.2 A re-application will be evaluated under the same requirements and procedures applicable to every other applicant SDP at the time of application.

6.10 Appeal procedure

- 6.10.1 An SDP has a right to appeal any accreditation decision/report.
- 6.10.2 An SDP wishing to appeal against any accreditation report/decision may make a written submission to the respective Provincial Manager of W&RSETA to that effect together with reasons for the appeal within one calendar month of such decision/report being received.
- 6.10.3 Should the W&RSETA Provincial Manager not support the decision that lead to an appeal from an SDP, the W&RSETA QA shall
 - a) amend the decision accordingly;
 - b) record such amendment in all the relevant documentation where the original decision was recorded;
 - make the necessary amendments to all the relevant documentation;
 and
 - d) issue revised versions of all relevant documentation.
- 6.10.4 Should the W&RSETA Provincial Manager support the decision that lead to an appeal; the SDP may escalate the appeal within two weeks to the Senior Regional Manager. Should the Senior Regional Manager not support the decision that lead to an appeal from the SDP, Steps a d under 6.10.3 will be followed.
- 6.10.5 Should the Senior Regional Manager support the decision that lead to an appeal, the SDP may escalate the appeal within two weeks to the office of the Chief Operations Officer (COO) of the W&RSETA. Should the W&RSETA COO not support the decision that lead to an appeal from an SDP, Steps a d under 6.10.3 will be followed.

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page 13 of 15



6.10.6 Should the W&RSETA COO support the decision that lead to an appeal from an SDP, the SDP may escalate the appeal within two weeks to the QCTO.

6.11 Public Notification

All instances of suspension, withdrawal (whether voluntary or involuntary) of accreditation or de-accreditation will be publicised by the QAP including but not limited to; notices being placed on the QCTO, QAP (W&RSETA) website and circulated to other QAPs (SETAs) with whom the SDP has an extension of scope.

7. EXCLUSIONS

None.

8. REQUEST TO DEVIATE FROM POLICY

Requests to deviate from any provision of this policy may be made to the Accounting Authority in writing. The Chief Executive Officer has powers to grant such request in whole or in part or refuse it. Unauthorised deviations may result in disciplinary action.

Document Name:	POL_QA_001_ QA Accreditation Policy_V6.0	Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
Version Control	Created: July 2012	
	Approved: July 2012	
	Reviewed: 18/11/2020	

©W&RSETA Page **14** of **15**



Policy Approval & Sign-off

1.	POLICY INF	ORMATION				
Policy	Name					
Policy	Reference N	lumber				
2.	RECOMMEN	JDATIONS/ F	NDORSEMENTS			
۷.	KLOOMME!	IDATIONO, L	NDONOLINENTO			
\checkmark	Recommend	ed		Not Recomm	ended	
Con	nments	Committee co	nsidered the Poli	cy and satisfi	ed itself t	hat it was ready for
	appro	oval hence sub	omitted to the Boa	ard and recon	nmended	accordingly.
Nan	ne of Commit	tee Governa	nce and Strateg	<u>y</u>		
Con	nmittee Chair	person Lucas	Ramatihodi			
	(KDH) -			1	8 / 12 / 2	020
Sig	nature			D	ate	
3.	APPROVAL	BY W&RSE	TA ACCOUNTIN	G AUTHORI	TY	
	Approved		☐ Not App	oroved		
Con	nments					
K	M/Si	liya		2	1 Decem	ber 2020
	ggie Sibiya	V		D	ate	
W&	RSETA Boar	d Chairperso	on			
	ment Name:	POL_QA_001_ C Created: July 20	QA Accreditation Policy	_V6.0		Next Review Date: 18/11/2021
versi	OH COHUO	Approved: July 20				
		Reviewed: 18/11				

©W&RSETA Page **15** of **15**